



CTN Test Report  
90-013

UCRL-ID-104305



Prepared for  
Air Force Logistics Command  
AITI Project



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

# Technical Publication Transfer Test with Vitro Corporation: MIL-M-28001 (SGML) and MIL-D-28000 Class I (IGES)

## Quick Short Test Report

September 18, 1990

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED

19960826 081

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

**Technical Publication Transfer  
Test with Vitro Corporation  
MIL-M-28001 (SGML) and  
MIL-D-28000 Class I (IGES)**

**Quick Short Test Report**

**September 18, 1990**

**Prepared by**  
Lawrence Livermore  
National Laboratory

**LLNL Contact**  
Jill Farrell  
(415) 423-6348

**SYSCON Contact**  
Jeff Howells  
(619) 296-0085

**AFLC Contact**  
Mel Lammers  
(513) 257-3085



**Prepared for**  
Air Force Logistics Command  
AITI Project

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Test Parameters.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>1840A Analysis .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>SGML Analysis.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>IGES Analysis .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Recommendations.....</b>	<b>7</b>

# **1 Introduction**

## **1.1 Background**

The DoD Computer-aided Acquisition and Logistic Support (CALS) Test Network (CTN) is conducting tests of the military standard for the Automated Interchange of Technical Information, MIL-STD-1840A, and its companion suite of military specifications. The CTN is a DoD-sponsored confederation of voluntary participants from industry and government managed by the Air Force Logistics Command.

The primary objective of the CTN is to evaluate the effectiveness of the CALS standards (Standards) for technical data interchange and to demonstrate the technical capabilities and operational suitability of those Standards. Two general categories of tests are performed to evaluate the Standards, formal and informal. Formal tests are large, comprehensive tests that follow a written test plan, require specific authorization from DoD, and may take months to prepare, execute, and report.

Informal tests are quick and short, taking only a few hours to set up and execute. They are used by the CTN technical staff to broaden the testing base by including representative samples of the many systems and applications used by CTN participants. They also allow the CTN staff to gain feedback from many industry and government interpretations of the Standards, to increase the base of participation in the CALS initiative, and to respond, in a timely manner, to the many requests for help that come from participants. Participants take part voluntarily and are benefited by receiving an evaluation of their latest implementation (interpretation) of the Standards, interacting with the CTN technical staff, gaining experience in use of the Standards, and developing increased confidence in them. The results of informal tests are reported in Quick Short Test Reports (QSTRs) that briefly summarize the standard(s) tested, the hardware and software used, the nature of the test, and the results.

## **1.2 Purpose**

The purpose of the informal test reported in this QSTR was to analyze Vitro Corporation's interpretation and use of the CALS standards in transferring technical publications data. Vitro used its CALS Technical Data Interchange System to produce data in accordance with the Standards and delivered it to the CTN technical staff on a 9-track magnetic tape.

## 2 Test Parameters

**Test Plan:** CTN89-TM-04

**Date of Evaluation:** August 28, 1989

**Evaluators:** Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
P.O. Box 808, L-542  
Livermore, CA 94550

SYSCON Corporation  
3990 Sherman Street  
San Diego, CA 92110

**Data Originator:** Vitro Corporation  
CALS Information Systems  
14000 Georgia Avenue  
Silver Spring, MD 20906-2972

**Data Description:** Army Maintenance Manual TM-11-5845-201-12 entitled "Operators and Organizational Maintenance Manual Sonar Sounding Set AN/SNQ-21"

1 document declaration file  
1 text file  
5 IGES files

**Data Source System:** Vitro CALS Technical Data Interchange System incorporating:

1840A	Compugraphic CAPS CALS for tape generation
SGML	Compugraphic QUADEX for original text creation Shaffstall System 6000 for conversion to SGML Softquad SGMLEdit and Compugraphics CAPS CALS for SGML parsing and editing
IGES	GTX DCAD for scanning and vector editing GTX IGESCNV for IGES translation Rosetta Technologies PrEDITOR and PreVIEW for IGES analysis and editing

**Evaluation  
Tools Used:**

1840A	CTN TAPEVAL
SGML	Datalogics, Softquad, and Software Exoterica Instance Parsers
IGES	IGES Data Analysis Inc. Parser/Verifier Glatz Ass. IGES Model Testing System Rosetta Technologies, Inc. PreVIEW

**Standards  
Tested:**

MIL-STD-1840A Notice 1 (1840A)  
MIL-M-28001 (28001)  
MIL-D-28000 Amendment 1 (28000) Class I

### **3 1840A Analysis**

#### **3.1 External Packaging**

The Vitro packaging conformed to the requirements. Overall, the entire package and supporting documentation was of the highest quality.

#### **3.2 Transmission Envelope**

##### **3.2.1 Tape Formats**

All the files on the tape had the correct record formats, record lengths, and block sizes.

##### **3.2.2 Declaration Files and Header Fields**

The CTN's TAPEVAL log file was analyzed for 1840A header errors. No errors were discovered in the 1840A declaration files or header fields.



## 4 SGML Analysis

A brief analysis was performed on the SGML text file using three different instance parser software packages. The Datalogics Instance Parser indicated the following problems with the instance. The very first tag, "<doc", had no end angle-bracket. Since this may have been a typographical error, the end angle-bracket was added. The parser claimed that a "<para0>" that follows two consecutive tables contained in a "<para0>" is illegal. The parser also claimed that "<paratext>" may not contain a "<ftnote>". The Datalogics Parser could not continue after encountering this problem. It is clear in the 28001 DTD that "<paratext>" may contain a "<ftnote>" via the "%text" entity.

The Software Exoterica instance parse yielded completely different results. The missing end angle-bracket after the "doc" tag was ignored. The parser flagged the footnotes tagged as "ft2", "ft3", and "ft4" as unexpected text contained in a "paratext" tag. According to 28001, zero or more footnotes are allowed at the end of the paratext content model (see the %text; entity).

The Softquad Instance Parser indicated no errors in the SGML markup. It did ignore the missing end angle-bracket. It appears as though the tables in this SGML text file were normalized. They contain end-row tags and entry tags with no content. Both sets of these tags are optional. The errors encountered using the Datalogics and Software Exoterica parsers appear to be content model interpretation problems. None of the parsers used indicated serious SGML errors except for the missing end angle-bracket caught by the Datalogics Instance Parser.

The development materials sent with the tape included a section that made references to parsing problems. These problems mentioned were encountered as the document was prepared for the transmission. The hard copy document sent with the materials was the original instance.

## 5 IGES Analysis

Analysis of the five IGES files showed that they correctly represented the graphics of Vitro's illustrations and contained proper Start Sections, however, they did not conform to 28000 Class I in all respects. The areas of non-conformance were:

1. Drawing, Drawing Name, and View Entities that are required by 28000 were missing.
2. All entities were not on layer zero as required by Class I.
3. The text was written in a text font not allowed by 28000.
4. Several Subordinate, Use, and other Directory Entry settings were in minor non-conformance to the IGES Specification.

## 6 Conclusions and Recommendations

Technically, the 1840A transmission envelope of the Vitro tape was correct. Overall, the supporting documentation (copies of document declaration files, source data files, illustrations, and comments) was excellent and should serve as a model for an ideal transmission package of 1840A data.

Except for the missing end angle-bracket mentioned, the SGML-coded text data appears to conform to 28001. The vendors of the instance parse software packages should be notified of the different results obtained from each parse.

The IGES files were not in complete conformance with 28000 Class I, yet the graphics they contained perfectly represented Vitro's original illustrations.